- Supporting Online Material -

Appendix JH2012

Quartz Cementation History of Sandstones Revealed by High-Resolution SIMS Oxygen Isotope Analysis

Joseph Harwood¹, Andrew C. Aplin^{1#}, Claire I. Fialips^{1*}, James E. Iliffe², Reinhard Kozdon³, Takayuki Ushikubo³, John W. Valley³

¹ School of Civil Engineering and Geoscience, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

² BP Exploration and Production, Sunbury-on-Thames, UK

³ WiscSIMS, Dept. of Geoscience, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

[#]Present address: Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Science Laboratories, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK

*Present address: TOTAL SA, CSTJF, Avenue Larribau, 64018 Pau, France

Ion Microprobe Analysis of Quartz Overgrowths

In situ oxygen isotope data were acquired in the WiscSIMS Laboratory at the University of Wisconsin-Madison by a CAMECA ims-1280 large-radius multicollector ion microprobe (Kita et al., 2009, Valley and Kita 2009). Quartz overgrowths were analyzed during two analytical sessions in May 2008 (Table 1) and June 2009 (Table 2). In the first session, two settings of the ${}^{133}Cs^+$ primary ion beam allowed for a switch from $\sim 20 \ \mu m$ beam spot diameter with an intensity of $\sim 2.3 \ nA$ to 12 μm with ~ 1.3 nA intensity. The typical secondary ${}^{16}O^{-1}$ ion intensity was ~ 2.8x10⁹ cps for the 2.3 nA primary beam and $\sim 1.5 \times 10^9$ for analyses using the 1.3 nA beam. Measurements were performed in multicollector Faradav Cup mode and with conditions similar to those reported by Kelly et al. (2007). In the second analytical session, a ${}^{133}Cs^+$ primary ion beam with an intensity of ~ 25 pA was focused to a size of approximately 2 µm x 3 µm. General conditions of the analyses are similar to those reported in Kozdon et al. (2009). The secondary O⁻ ions were detected by a Faraday cup ($^{16}O^{-}$) and a miniature Hamamatsu electron multiplier (¹⁸O⁻). The typical secondary ¹⁶O⁻ ion intensity was ~ 3 x 10⁷ cps. Charging of the sample surface was compensated by Au-coating and an electron flood gun. Grains of the University of Wisconsin guartz standard, UWQ-1, ($\delta^{18}O = 12.33\%$ [SMOW]; Kelly et al., 2007) were mounted in the center of each thin section. Four consecutive measurements of UWQ-1 quartz standard were performed before and after every set of 8-22 sample analyses. The precision (reproducibility) of a set of bracketing standard analyses is assigned as uncertainty of unknown samples. Detailed analytical protocols are described in Kita et al. (2009).

Table 1. Oxygen 1	Isotope Analyses o	of Quartz Over	'growths at `	WiscSIMS 1	2008
			8		

Analyses #	Sample	¹⁶ O cps x10 ⁹	δ ¹⁸ Ο RAW	2 SE ^{a)}	δ ¹⁸ O VSMOW	2 SD ^{b)}
5	UWQ-1	2.95	6.93	0.22		
6	UWQ-1	2.84	6.90	0.35		
7	UWQ-1	2.95	7.03	0.13		
8	UWQ-1	2.88	6.91	0.14		
10	20 A01 02	2.86	17.13	0.18	22.49	0.40
11	20 A01 03	2.90	18.23	0.21	23.59	0.40
12	20 A01 04	2.90	16.14	0.17	21.49	0.40
13	20_A01_05	2.87	3.34	0.16	8.62	0.40
14	20_A02_01	2.86	5.14	0.22	10.43	0.40
15	20_A02_02	2.89	6.02	0.16	11.32	0.40
16	20_A03_01a	2.87	3.76	0.17	9.05	0.40
17	UWQ-1	2.78	7.07	0.25		
18	UWQ-1	2.72	7.48	0.24		
19	UWQ-1	2.75	7.06	0.28		
20	UWQ-1	2.87	6.85	0.17		
Bracket	(5-7, 17-20)	average	7.03			2 SD = 0.40

Sample Mount J.H. 20 (211/27-A2). 20 µm beam, 2.3 nA intensity. May 7, 2008.

Sample Mount J.H. 20 (211/27-A2). 12 µm beam, 1.3 nA intensity. May 7, 2008.

21	UWQ-1	1.49	6.73	0.33		
22	UWQ-1	1.47	7.06	0.37		
23	UWQ-1	1.48	6.92	0.40		
24	UWQ-1	1.51	6.78	0.33		
25	20_A02_03	1.50	16.88	0.40	22.25	0.45
30	20_A02_08	1.42	15.31	0.43	20.68	0.45
32	20_A02_010	1.49	14.67	0.35	20.04	0.45
35	20_A02_013	1.49	15.62	0.32	20.99	0.45
36	UWQ-1	1.48	7.16	0.35		
37	UWQ-1	1.47	6.94	0.28		
38	UWQ-1	1.47	7.44	0.33		
39	UWQ-1	1.45	7.00	0.35		
Brack	et (21-24, 36-39)	average	7.00			2 SD = 0.45
40	20_A03_01	1.43	16.92	0.45	22.24	0.34
41	20_A03_02	1.44	17.44	0.56	22.75	0.34
42	20_A03_03	1.52	16.32	0.29	21.63	0.34
43	20_A03_04	1.50	15.12	0.27	20.43	0.34
45	20_A03_06	1.51	15.28	0.37	20.59	0.34
46	20_A03_07	1.50	15.09	0.29	20.40	0.34
47	20 A03 08	1.50	17.27	0.38	22.59	0.34

Analyses #	Sample	¹⁶ O cps x10 ⁹	δ ¹⁸ Ο RAW	2 SE ^{a)}	δ ¹⁸ O VSMOW	2 SD ^{b)}
48	20_A03_09	1.51	16.80	0.33	22.11	0.34
49	20_A03_10	1.49	16.47	0.39	21.79	0.34
50	20_A03_11	1.49	17.41	0.32	22.73	0.34
51	20_A03_12	1.48	15.88	0.31	21.19	0.34
52	20_A03_13	1.49	17.71	0.41	23.02	0.34
53	20_A03_14	1.50	17.18	0.35	22.49	0.34
54	20_A03_15	1.50	17.16	0.45	22.48	0.34
55	UWQ-1	1.50	7.05	0.36		
56	UWQ-1	1.51	7.07	0.33		
57	UWQ-1	1.51	6.89	0.32		
58	UWQ-1	1.51	6.99	0.28		
Bracket	(36-39, 55-58)	average	7.07			2 SD = 0.34
50	20 4.02 16	1 49	5 40	0.20	10.92	0.28
59	20_A03_10	1.48	5.49	0.39	10.82	0.28
60	20_A03_17	1.50	5.94	0.48	0.26	0.28
61	20_A09_01	1.55	4.05	0.28	9.50	0.28
62	20_A09_02	1.52	17.09	0.30	22.49	0.28
63	20_A09_03	1.55	16.76	0.29	22.15	0.28
64	20_A09_04	1.52	16.45	0.36	21.85	0.28
65	20_A09_05	1.55	16.15	0.33	21.55	0.28
66	20_A09_06	1.54	15.18	0.34	20.56	0.28
6/	20_A09_07	1.55	14.97	0.20	20.36	0.28
68	20_A09_08	1.54	16.36	0.34	21.75	0.28
69	20_A09_09	1.55	16.49	0.43	21.88	0.28
/0	20_A09_010	1.54	15.53	0.34	20.92	0.28
71	UWQ-1	1.53	7.02	0.42		
72	UWQ-1	1.53	6.76	0.37		
73	UWQ-1	1.53	6.90	0.26		
74	UWQ-1	1.54	7.22	0.35		
Bracket	(55-58, 71-74)	average	6.99			2 SD = 0.28

Sample Mount J.H. 19 (211/27-A2). 12 μm beam. May 7, 2008.

75	UWQ-1	1.53	7.04	0.34		
76	UWQ-1	1.53	7.00	0.28		
77	UWQ-1	1.55	6.64	0.32		
78	UWQ-1	1.55	6.55	0.28		
79	19_A02_01	1.55	4.54	0.28	10.17	0.44
80	19_A02_02	1.55	11.83	0.29	17.50	0.44
81	19_A02_03	1.54	17.58	0.33	23.28	0.44
82	19_A02_04	1.55	17.44	0.29	23.14	0.44
83	19_A02_05	1.55	16.36	0.44	22.06	0.44
84	19_A02_06	1.52	16.47	0.24	22.16	0.44
85	19_A02_07	1.51	16.15	0.38	21.84	0.44
86	19_A02_08	1.51	20.55	0.39	26.27	0.44

Analyses	Sample	¹⁶ O cps	δ ¹⁸ Ο	2 SE ^{a)}	δ ¹⁸ Ο	2 SD ^{b)}
#	*	X10	RAW		VSMOW	
87	19_A02_09	1.54	16.46	1.12	22.15	0.44
88	19_A02_010	1.55	17.24	0.34	22.94	0.44
89	19_A02_011	1.60	11.33	0.31	17.00	0.44
90	19_A02_012	1.55	4.09	0.41	9.71	0.44
91	19_A02_013	1.54	18.05	0.32	23.75	0.44
92	19_A02_014	1.53	16.33	0.27	22.03	0.44
93	19_A02_015	1.55	17.79	0.36	23.49	0.44
94	19_A02_016	1.54	16.28	0.29	21.98	0.44
95	UWQ-1	1.55	6.57	0.30		
96	UWQ-1	1.56	6.58	0.33		
97	UWQ-1	1.54	6.71	0.43		
98	UWQ-1	1.55	6.44	0.46		
Bracket	(75-78, 95-98)	average	6.69			2 SD = 0.44
101	19 A05 01	1.52	16.90	0.32	22.73	0.32
102	19_A05_02	1.53	15.86	0.26	21.68	0.32
103	19 A05 03	1.53	4.27	0.31	10.02	0.32
104	19_A05_04	1.56	15.01	0.32	20.82	0.32
105	19 A05 05	1.55	15.42	0.32	21.24	0.32
106	19 A05 06	1.55	15.97	0.30	21.79	0.32
107	19 A05 07	1.55	16.86	0.31	22.68	0.32
108	19 A05 08	1.55	17.38	0.29	23.21	0.32
109	19 A05 09	1.55	4.90	0.34	10.66	0.32
110	19 A05 10	1.54	16.37	0.41	22.20	0.32
111	19 A07 01	1.55	15.80	0.33	21.62	0.32
112	19 A07 02	1.52	16.52	0.29	22.34	0.32
113	19 A07 03	1.54	6.66	0.26	12.42	0.32
114	19 A07 04	1.55	9.20	0.24	14.98	0.32
115	19_A07_05	1.55	6.16	0.44	11.92	0.32
116	UWQ-1	1.56	6.32	0.37		
117	UWQ-1	1.55	6.47	0.32		
118	UWQ-1	1.57	6.61	0.22		
119	UWQ-1	1.55	6.82	0.25		
Bracket	(95-98, 116-119)	average	6.56	0.32		2 SD = 0.32
120	19 402 017	1 55	10.78	0.38	16.45	0.39
120	19_{A02}_{017}	1.55	10.78	0.34	10.45	0.39
121	19_402_016	1.55	16 52	0.37	22.23	0.39
123	19_A07_00	1.50	11.26	0.37	16.03	0.39
124	19_A07_07	1.55	6.87	0.37	10.95	0.39
125	19_A07_08	1.55	4.50	0.32	12.32	0.39
120	19_10/_09	1.55	т. <i>37</i> 17 20	0.32	23.00	0.39
127	$19_{\Lambda 0}/_{10}$	1.55	16.60	0.30	23.00	0.39
120	$19_{\Lambda 07}^{17}$	1.57	16.64	0.29	22.31	0.39
127	$19_{\Lambda 0}/_{12}$ 10 $\Lambda 07$ 13	1.50	15.60	0.35	22.34	0.39
131	19 A07 14	1.57	15.86	0.36	21.29	0.39
101	··	1.07		0.20	-1.00	0.07

Analyses #	Sample	¹⁶ O cps x10 ⁹	δ ¹⁸ O RAW	2 SE ^{a)}	δ ¹⁸ O VSMOW	2 SD ^{b)}
132	19_A07_15	1.56	15.63	0.27	21.32	0.39
133	19_A07_16	1.55	16.29	0.30	21.99	0.39
134	19_A07_17	1.57	17.49	0.33	23.19	0.39
135	19_A07_18	1.57	16.93	0.32	22.64	0.39
136	19_A07_19	1.58	16.17	0.36	21.87	0.39
137	19_A07_20	1.58	15.01	0.23	20.70	0.39
138	19_A07_21	1.59	14.37	0.19	20.06	0.39
139	19_A07_22	1.52	15.11	0.40	20.80	0.39
140	19_A07_23	1.63	17.68	0.27	23.39	0.39
1.40		1.60	6.00	0.20		
142	UWQ-I	1.60	6.82	0.39		
143	UWQ-I	1.59	6.78	0.28		
144	UWQ-I	1.62	6.79	0.35		
145	UWQ-I	1.59	6.85	0.30		
Bracket (1	16-119, 142-145)		average	6.68		2 SD = 0.39
146	19_A08_01	1.57	14.95	0.39	20.71	0.44
147	19_A08_02	1.58	15.46	0.25	21.22	0.44
148	19_A08_03	1.59	17.46	0.42	23.23	0.44
149	19_A08_04	1.59	7.36	0.39	13.08	0.44
150	19_A08_05	1.58	5.10	0.29	10.80	0.44
151	19_A08_06	1.59	13.66	0.31	19.41	0.44
154	19_A08_09	1.59	15.72	0.28	21.49	0.44
156	19_A08_011	1.58	15.36	0.40	21.12	0.44
157	19_A08_012	1.59	14.98	0.17	20.74	0.44
159	19_A08_014	1.58	11.93	0.34	17.68	0.44
160	19_A08_015	1.58	4.94	0.23	10.65	0.44
162		1 59	6.24	0.27		
102	UWQ^{-1}	1.30	6.27	0.27		
164	UWQ^{-1}	1.30	6.60	0.40		
104	UWQ^{-1}	1.39	6.41	0.42		
100 Decolect	$\cup W Q - I$	1.38	0.41	0.39		2 SD = 0.44
Бгаскег	(142-145, 102-105)		average	0.02		2 SD = 0.44

Sample Mount J.H. 1 (211/11a-3). 12 µm beam. May 7, 2008.

166	UWO-1	1.59	6.90	0.33		
167	UWQ-1	1.61	6.54	0.31		
168	UWQ-1	1.60	6.91	0.28		
169	UWQ-1	1.59	6.76	0.33		
170	1_A01_01	1.59	12.28	0.50	17.94	0.48
171	1_A01_02	1.59	-0.02	0.34	5.58	0.48
172	1_A01_03	1.60	17.57	0.25	23.26	0.48
176	1_A01_07	1.58	15.52	0.35	21.20	0.48
178	1_A01_09	1.60	15.38	0.19	21.06	0.48
179	1_A01_10	1.60	15.67	0.21	21.36	0.48
180	1_A01_11	1.60	15.40	0.31	21.08	0.48

Analyses #	Sample	¹⁶ O cps x10 ⁹	δ ¹⁸ Ο RAW	2 SE ^{a)}	δ ¹⁸ O VSMOW	2 SD ^{b)}
181	1_A01_12	1.61	15.15	0.40	20.83	0.48
182	UWQ-1	1.61	6.66	0.37		
183	UWQ-1	1.65	6.20	0.24		
184	UWQ-1	1.63	6.89	0.36		
185	UWQ-1	1.63	6.71	0.30		
Bra	ncket (166-169, 182-	185)	average	6.70		2 SD = 0.48
		Μ	ay 8, 2008			
190	UWQ-1	1.44	7.34	0.44		
191	UWQ-1	1.44	7.53	0.29		
192	UWQ-1	1.43	7.39	0.38		
193	UWQ-1	1.44	7.38	0.39		
194	1 A01 13	1.44	17.13	0.37	22.18	0.23
195	1_A01_14	1.44	18.31	0.32	23.37	0.23
196	1_A01_15	1.46	8.88	0.43	13.89	0.23
197	1 A02b 01	1.44	16.39	0.32	21.43	0.23
202	UWQ-1	1.45	7.28	0.37		
203	UWQ-1	1.45	7.16	0.38		
204	UWQ-1	1.45	7.31	0.44		
205	UWQ-1	1.44	7.24	0.31		
Bra	ncket (190-193, 202-	205)	average	7.33		2 SD = 0.23
206	1_A02b_06	1.45	16.14	0.35	21.22	0.15
207	1_A02b_07	1.44	16.62	0.32	21.71	0.15
208	1_A02b_08	1.45	18.33	0.23	23.43	0.15
209	1_A02b_08	1.44	8.77	0.43	13.82	0.15
210	1_A02b_09	1.45	14.85	0.42	19.93	0.15
211	1_A02b_10	1.44	17.48	0.31	22.57	0.15
212	1_A02b_11	1.45	8.15	0.38	13.2	0.15
213	1_A02b_12	1.44	15.70	0.26	20.78	0.15
214	1_A02b_13	1.44	16.27	0.32	21.36	0.15
215	1_A02b_14	1.46	16.16	0.38	21.24	0.15
216	1_A02b_15	1.46	16.49	0.35	21.57	0.15
217	1_A02b_16	1.45	5.03	0.35	10.06	0.15
218	1_A02b_17	1.47	15.39	0.49	20.47	0.15
220	1_A02b_20	1.46	16.01	0.50	21.10	0.15
221	1_A02b_21	1.44	15.18	0.41	20.26	0.15
222	UWO-1	1.47	7.31	0.32		
223	UWO-1	1.48	7.39	0.35		
226	UWO-1	1.45	7.38	0.30		
227	UWO-1	1.45	7.26	0.40		
Bra	ncket (202-205, 222-	227)	average	7.29		2 SD = 0.15
220	1 4021 21	1 47	15 01	0.27	20.26	0.40
228	I_AU20_21	1.4/	13.21	0.37	20.20	0.40
229	I_AU2D_22	1.4/	14.46	0.42	19.50	0.40
230	1_A02b_23	1.47	16.58	0.38	21.63	0.40

Analyses #	Sample	¹⁶ O cps x10 ⁹	δ ¹⁸ Ο RAW	2 SE ^{a)}	δ ¹⁸ O VSMOW	2 SD ^{b)}
231	1_A02b_24	1.48	15.67	0.29	20.72	0.40
233	1_A02b_25	1.47	6.39	0.43	11.39	0.40
234	1_A02c_01	1.46	4.31	0.34	9.30	0.40
235	1_A02c_02	1.48	16.33	0.34	21.38	0.40
236	1_A02c_03	1.49	16.70	0.37	21.75	0.40
237	1_A02c_04	1.46	16.53	0.41	21.58	0.40
238	1_A02c_05	1.46	5.71	0.39	10.71	0.40
239	1_A02c_06	1.45	5.88	0.32	10.88	0.40
240	1_A02c_07	1.44	19.46	0.46	24.53	0.40
241	1_A02c_08	1.45	17.08	0.40	22.13	0.40
242	1_A02c_09	1.45	4.59	0.39	9.58	0.40
243	UWQ-1	1.46	7.19	0.39		
244	UWQ-1	1.44	7.01	0.35		
245	UWQ-1	1.46	7.35	0.35		
246	UWQ-1	1.47	7.72	0.24		
Brad	cket (222-227, 243-	246)	average	7.32		2 SD = 0.40

^{a)} Internal error of a single analysis calculated as the standard error of the mean of 20 cycles (25 cycles in Session II) in 2σ

^{b)} 2 SD of the bracketing standard analysis

Analyses #	Sample	¹⁶ O cps x10 ⁹	δ ¹⁸ O RAW	2 SE ^{a)}	δ ¹⁸ O VSMOW	2 SD ^{b)}
	Sample Mount	t NS19 (211/2	27-A2). 2 μι	m beam. Ju	ne 3, 2009.	
26	UWQ-1	3.44	-0.74	0.57		
27	UWO-1	3.21	0.02	0.55		
28	UWO-1	3.21	-0.27	0.51		
29	UWO-1	3.17	-0.01	0.48		
30	NS19.2 A5 1	3.12	8.69	0.63		
31	NS19. 2 A5 2	3.08	9.08	0.51	21.17	0.83
32	NS19. 2 A5 3	3.05	9.52	0.47	21.56	0.83
33	NS19. 2 A5 4	2.97	9.43	0.62	22.01	0.83
34	NS19 2 A5 5	3.02	9.77	0.59	21.92	0.83
35	NS19 2 A5 6	3.02	9.17	0.54	22.26	0.83
36	NS19.2_A5_7	2.97	9.17	0.76	22.20	0.83
30	NS10.2_A5_8	2.97	0.64	0.70	21.00	0.83
20	NS19. 2_A5_0	2.02	9.04	0.09	21.90	0.83
30 30	NS19. 2_A5_9 NS10_2_A5_10	2.95	8.00 8.00	0.07	21.34	0.83
39	NS19. 2_A5_10	5.05	0.99	0.70	21.47	0.85
40	UWO-1	3.17	0.26	0.73		
41	UWQ-1	3.17	-0.41	0.62		
42	UWQ-1	3.17	0.43	0.55		
43	UWQ-1	3.16	0.41	0.46		
Bracke	t (26-29, 40-43)	average	-0.04			2 SD = 0.83
44	NS19.2 A5 11	3.18	9.27	0.67	21.42	0.65
45	NS19.2 A5 12	3.16	9.59	0.65	21.74	0.65
46	NS19 .2 A5 13	3.14	10.20	0.56	22.37	0.65
47	NS19 .2_A5_14	3.16	10.23	0.45	22.39	0.65
48	NS19 .2_A5_15	3.12	9.65	0.45	21.81	0.65
49	NS19 .2_A5_16	3.10	9.26	0.60	21.41	0.65
50	NS19 .2_A5_17	3.10	10.12	0.45	22.28	0.65
51	NS19 .2_A5_18	3.09	9.53	0.62	21.68	0.65
52	NS19 .2_A5_19	3.10	9.86	0.54	22.02	0.65
53	NS19 .2_A5_20	3.13	10.23	0.55	22.39	0.65
54	UWO-1	3.02	0.31	0.62		
55	UWQ-1	3.02	0.70	0.51		
56	UWQ-1	2.97	0.15	0.48		
57	UWQ-1	2.98	0.44	0.51		
Bracke	t (40-43, 54-57)	average	0.29			2 SD = 0.65
58	NS19.2 A5 21	2.96	10.95	0.57	23.11	0.38
59	NS19 .2 A5 22	3.00	10.57	0.82	22.73	0.38
60	NS19 .2 A5 23	2.96	11.30	0.41	23.46	0.38

 Table 2. Oxygen Isotope Analyses of Quartz Overgrowths at WiscSIMS 2009

Analyses	a 1	¹⁶ O cps	δ ¹⁸ Ο	• (778)	$\delta^{18}O$	e ere b)
#	Sample	x10 ⁹	RAW	2 SE*	VSMOW	2 SD ³
61	NS19.2 A5 24	2.98	10.59	0.65	22.74	0.38
62	NS19 .2 A5 25	2.90	10.95	0.47	23.11	0.38
63	NS19 .2 A5 26	2.93	10.77	0.58	22.93	0.38
64	NS19 .2 A5 27	2.88	11.01	0.64	23.16	0.38
65	NS19 .2 A5 28	2.89	10.62	0.44	22.77	0.38
66	NS19 .2 A5 29	2.80	12.22	0.76	24.39	0.38
67	NS19 .2 A5 30	2.87	14.73	0.58	26.94	0.38
68	UWQ-1	3.02	0.13	0.65		
69	UWQ-1	3.06	0.15	0.80		
70	UWQ-1	3.05	0.30	0.44		
71	UWQ-1	3.05	0.24	0.63		
	Bracket (54-57, 68-71)		average	0.30		2 SD = 0.38
	() ,		8			
72	NS19.2_A5_31	3.02	-0.42	0.65	11.58	0.55
73	NS19 .2_A5_32	3.05	-0.78	0.69	11.22	0.55
74	NS19 .2_A5_33	3.02	-1.22	0.68	10.77	0.55
75	NS19.2_A5_34	3.02	-0.95	0.53	11.05	0.55
76	NS19 .2_A5_35	2.90	-1.24	0.66	10.75	0.55
77	NS19 .2 A5 36	2.93	-1.01	0.72	10.99	0.55
78	NS19 .2 A5 37	2.96	10.68	0.52	22.82	0.55
79	NS19 .2 A5 38	2.97	10.70	0.71	22.84	0.55
80	NS19 .2 A5 39	2.97	12.39	0.51	24.54	0.55
81	NS19 .2 A5 40	2.81	15.50	0.72	27.70	0.55
82	UWQ-1	3.01	0.71	0.48		
83	UWQ-1	2.99	-0.06	0.62		
84	UWQ-1	2.95	0.71	0.58		
85	UWQ-1	2.93	0.36	0.61		
	Bracket (68-71, 82-85)		average	0.32		2 SD = 0.55
86	NS19 .2_A5_41	2.91	15.24	0.55	27.57	0.96
87	NS19 .2_A5_42	2.91	14.67	0.50	27.00	0.96
88	NS19 .2_A5_43	2.94	12.94	0.61	25.25	0.96
89	NS19 .2_A5_44	2.83	8.63	0.64	20.88	0.96
91	NS19 .2_A5_46	2.80	9.21	0.58	21.47	0.96
92	NS19 .2_A5_47	2.84	9.06	0.59	21.32	0.96
93	NS19 .2_A5_48	2.85	10.26	0.54	22.53	0.96
94	NS19 .2_A5_49	2.85	10.08	0.62	22.35	0.96
95	UWQ-1	3.02	0.15	0.53		
96	UWQ-1	3.01	0.33	0.55		
97	UWQ-1	3.02	-0.78	0.48		
98	UWQ-1	3.04	0.03	0.75		
	Bracket (82-85, 95-98)		average	0.18		2 SD = 0.96

^{a)} Internal error of a single analysis calculated as the standard error of the mean of 20 cycles (25 cycles in Session II) in 2σ

^{b)} 2 SD of the bracketing standard analysis

Pit Analysis

After ion microprobe analysis a combination of Scanning Electron (SE), Back Scattered (BSE) and Cathodoluminescene (SEM-CL) microscopy was used to relocate and characterizes each individual pit. Figures 1 to 11 below give a full account of how each pit has been interpreted with reasoning for each analyses inclusion or exclusion from this study.

Material Type	Pit Color
Detrital	Black
Overgrowth	White
Mixed	Red
Low Yield	Yellow
Pit overlap	Green
Sample cracked	Pink
Unknown detrital host (NS20 Area 2)	Gold

Table 3. Key to pit interpretation



Figure 1. SE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS20 Area 1. All analyses have been performed with a 20 μ m pit.



Figure 2a. SE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS20 Area 2. Pits 14 and 15 are 20 µm all other analyses have been performed at 12 µm.



Figure 2b. BSE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS20 Area 2 before isotope analysis.

Around detrital quartz 1 (DQ1) appear two distinct CL regions (Fig 2a, clearer in 2b). Close to DQ1 appears a thin overgrowth layer (A), further into the pore space is a much thicker region of overgrowth material (B). Although this overgrowth appears fairly continuous in both the SE (Fig. 2a) and the BSE (Fig. 2b) images a small separation line can be seen within the authigenic material. When imposed on the SEM-CL images this line appears to separate region A from B. A two phase precipitation is unlikely in this instance as area A appears to precipitate around B. The distinct lack of relationship between the two regions is highlighted by the continuation of a small crack that runs through DQ1 and area A but is not seen in area B. We have interpreted area B as originating from an unseen detrital grain that lies below DQ1. During diagenesis the quartz cement has precipitating independently. As it is unclear which detrital grain is hosting overgrowth B data from this area has been disregarded as indicated by the gold circles.



Figure 3. SE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS20 Area 3. Pit $16 = 20 \mu m$ all other analyses have been performed at $12 \mu m$.



Figure 4. SE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS20 Area 9. All analyses have been performed with a 12 μ m pit.



Figure 5. SE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS19 Area 2. All analyses have been performed with a 12 μ m pit.



Figure 6. SE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS19 Area 5. The larger 12 μm pits were performed in 2008 (pits, 101-110). A second analysis session was performed in 2009 using the smaller 2 μm pit (smaller numbers 30-94).



Figure 7. SE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS19 Area 7. All analyses have been performed with a 12 μ m pit.



Figure 8. SE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS19 Area 8. All analyses have been performed with a 12 μ m pit.



Figure 9. SE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS1 Area 1. All analyses have been performed with a 12 μ m pit.



Figure 10. SE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS1 Area 2b. All analyses have been performed with a 12 μ m pit.



Figure 11. SE (left) and SEM-CL image (right) of sample NS1 Area 2c. All analyses have been performed with a 12 µm pit.

References

- Kelly, J.L., Fu, B., Kita, N.T., and Valley, J.W., 2007, Optically continuous silcrete quartz cements of the St. Peter Sandstone: High precision oxygen isotope analysis by ion microprobe.: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 71, p. 3812-3832.
- Kita, N.T., Ushikubo, T., Fu, B., and Valley, J.W., 2009, High precision SIMS oxygen isotope analysis and the effect of sample topography.: Chemical Geology, v. 264, p. 43-57.
- Kozdon, R., Ushikubo, T., Kita, N.T., Spicuzza, M., and Valley, J.W., 2009, Intratest oxygen isotope variability in the planktonic foraminifer *N. pachyderma:* Real vs. apparent vital effects by ion microprobe.: Chemical Geology, v. 258, p. 327-337.
- Valley J.W., and Kita, N.T. 2009, *In situ* Oxygen Isotope Geochemistry by Ion Microprobe, In: Fayek M. (ed) MAC Short Course: Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry in the Earth Sciences, v 41, 19-63