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ABSTRACT
Tropical cyclones can have devastating economic impact on U.S. coastal communities, yet the full range of their natural variability is not yet known. A more definitive understanding of tropical cyclone (i.e., hurricane) frequency, intensity and response to global climate change requires an understanding of their behavior over several millennia. The developing field of paleotempestology promises to add the perspective of time to current debate on the recent increase in tropical cyclone activity and intensity.

INTRODUCTION
North Atlantic tropical cyclone frequency and intensity have increased significantly since 1995 (e.g., Goldenberg et al., 2001; Elsner et al., 2000; Landsea et al., 1998), but the causes of these changes are fiercely debated. The increase in tropical cyclone frequency is thought to reflect natural, multidecadal scale variation governed by low frequency climate modes, such as the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO, low frequency changes in sea surface temperature; e.g., Elsner and Kara, 1999; Goldenberg et al., 2001; see Mann and Emanuel, 2006, for counterpoint). However, increased frequency may also be related to an increase in sea surface temperature (SST) over the past 35 years (Trenberth, 2005). Studies implicate global warming in the increased intensity of tropical cyclone events (Knutson and Tuleya 2004; Emanuel 2005; Webster et al. 2005), but definitive linkages between tropical cyclone frequency, changes in SST and rising greenhouse gas concentration are elusive (Pielke et al., 2005).

As they work towards resolution of these important questions, researchers are hampered by very short instrumental records of tropical cyclone activity. The highest quality instrumental records exist only since ~1940, and few extend past ~1850. In the absence of an instrumental record, the long-term physical, spatial and temporal patterns of tropical cyclone activity must be established by proxy. This is the essential challenge of the emerging field of paleotempestology. Using geological, biological and written documentary (i.e., historical) evidence, scientists in this new field seek to develop records of past tropical cyclone activity over a large range of scales, from the day-by-day reconstruction of a single storm using historical documents to millennial-scale sediment records that define long periods of tropical cyclone activity or quiescence. Proxy-derived data are essential to develop a more complete understanding of natural, low-frequency trends and fluctuations in tropical cyclone activity, to deduce climate-tropical cyclone relationships operating over multidecadal or longer periods, and can be used to improve predictive modeling of tropical cyclone vulnerability and risk. Here we summarize the basis and application of paleotempestology proxies, with special attention to a newly-developed proxy based on stable oxygen isotope compositions in tree rings.

PALEOTEMPESTOLOGY

Historical proxies
The extreme nature of tropical cyclones has led to their documentation in a wide range of outlets, including newspapers, plantation diaries, government records and ship logs. These documents range from terse and qualitative reports to sometimes detailed accountings of storm phenomena or damage. Historical records are limited in time and geography by the requisite human observations. The reliability of the data is variable, and qualitative descriptions of events must be scaled and interpreted. Recent studies, such as those described below, seek to validate their methodology and results against instrumental records, with excellent results. Thus, with judicious and systematic interpretation, historical (written) documents can be exploited to reconstruct detailed records of past tropical cyclone activity (e.g., Mock, 2004).

The earliest known written reference and description of typhoons (Pacific tropical cyclones; called jufeng, or “wind that comes from four directions”), is found in an ancient Chinese text (A.D. 470; Louie and Liu, 2003). Voluminous archives of imperial government documents and semi-official local gazettes may yield very high-resolution records of western Pacific tropical cyclone occurrence, extending back many centuries. Liu et al. (2001) reconstructed a 935 year time series of typhoon landfalls for Guangdong province which suggests two periods of marked tropical cyclone activity in southern China which may have resulted from large, regional scale climate effects which steered western Pacific tropical cyclones towards more southerly landfalls.

Written records of North Atlantic hurricanes include Spanish colonial records (by end 15th century) and British naval logs (by end 16th century). Those archives are of particular importance to developing tropical cyclone histories in the Caribbean and U.S. Gulf Coast.
Although previous investigations have been fruitful, there is still much unexploited material (García Herrera et al., 2004). Additional records such as plantation logs and newspapers were added with settlement and economic development of the U.S. southern and eastern coasts, and Mock (2004) reports the most complete historical tropical cyclone reconstruction for the U.S.: a 222 year record (1778-2000), for the Charleston, South Carolina, area.

Geological proxies

Geological proxies for tropical cyclone activity provide an independent tool to assess tropical cyclone frequency and to constrain the relationship between tropical cyclones and climate on a much longer time scale than historical proxies. Geological proxies predominantly derive from coastal sedimentary records (Fig. 1). Other proxies include storm-triggered landslides and erosional events, and hurricane event layers in marine sediments and atoll lagoons (e.g., Bentley et al., 2002). The storm surge and high wave energy associated with landfalling tropical cyclones may leave distinct storm deposits in coastal ponds, backbarrier lagoons and marshes. These deposits are typically sandy overwash deposits, thickest towards the barrier and thinning towards the center of the pond or lagoon, that are embedded in fine-grained, organic-rich sediments typical of backbarrier lagoons and coastal ponds. Each sandy layer is interpreted to record a single hurricane event. Radiocarbon dating of the enclosing mud layers permit time resolution of the events. Applications of coastal sediment proxies are reported by Liu and Fearn (1993, 2000), Donnelly et al. (2001a, 2001b, 2004), Scott et al. (2003), and others (additional references in Liu, 2004).

Challenges inherent to coastal sediment proxies are the unique identification of the sand layer as the result of a tropical cyclone, the variability of the overwash deposits as a function of storm energy and trajectory, and the superimposed effects of long term changes in sea level. Storm deposits are most confidently interpreted in combination with studies of microfossils (foraminifera, diatom, etc.; Collins et al., 1999; Hippensteel and Martin, 1999; Scott et al., 2001) to establish the provenance of the sand, employing local sea level curves (Scott et al., 2003), and by comparison to the documentary record, where storm events fall within the timeframe of historical records (Scott et al., 2003). Using these approaches, studies along the Gulf and Atlantic coasts (references below) have demonstrated the particular association of these deposits with major tropical cyclones (~Category 3-5, Saffir-Simpson scale).

A suite of studies (Liu and Fearn, 1993, 2000; Donnelly et al., 2001a, 2001b, 2004; Scott et al., 2003) utilizing the coastal sediment proxy has yielded a millennial-scale record of North Atlantic tropical cyclone activity along the U.S. Gulf and Atlantic coasts. Coastal pond records from sites along the Gulf Coast from Louisiana to Florida record few catastrophic (major hurricanes; see above) hurricane strikes from 0 to 1000 B.P., but three to five times greater landfall frequency of catastrophic storms between 1000 and 3500 yr B.P. A very different record is noted at Atlantic seaboard sites, which indicate major hurricane activity in the period 0 to 1000 B.P. and relative quiescence from 1000 to 3500 yr B.P. This complementary record has been interpreted to reflect control of low frequency climate modes, in particular the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO, a large scale fluctuation in atmospheric pressure between the polar low and subtropical high) on the frequency, intensity and track of North Atlantic hurricanes (Elsner et al., 2000; Scott et al., 2003). The “Bermuda High” hypothesis sug-

Figure 1. Geological and stable isotope proxies used in paleotempestology (after Liu, 2006). Geological proxies include storm deposits in coastal environments, oceanic event layers, and storm-induced landslides and erosional events. Stable isotope effects of tropical cyclones may be detected in tree rings, speleothems, and corals.
Figure 2. (a) The study site near Valdosta, Georgia, and radius of tropical storm impact examined in tree ring isotopes (~400 km; outer circle). (b) Oxygen isotope depletions related to tropical cyclones are noted almost exclusively in latewood portions of tree rings (dark ring portions) from longleaf pines. Scale bar 1 cm. (c) A record of tropical cyclone events preserved in tree rings in study site for 1770-1990. The record shows multidecadal periods of relative activity or quiescence of tropical cyclones affecting the study area.
the record of tropical cyclones is shown in Figure 2. The reliability of the proxy-derived data for 1940-1990 was tested by comparison with (1) “best track” data for all tropical cyclones tracking within the defined study area (HURDAT; http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/pastall.shtml; Jarvinen et al. 1984; Landsea et al., 2004), and; (2) local precipitation records on days the storm made closest approach. Only one “false positive” (i.e., a storm detected by proxy for which there is no instrumental evidence) was noted and only three storms known to have tracked and near the study site were “missed” over the period 1855 to 1990.

Additional climate relations can be determined by comparison of tree ring isotope compositions (earlywood and latewood) and various climate indices. An example is shown in Figure 3. Earlywood oxygen isotope compositions correspond to climate factors affecting precipitation, without the complication of a superimposed tropical cyclone record. Earlywood δ¹⁸O values correlate well with a smoothed (10 yr running average), January to May, AMO index (Enfield et al. 2001). From 1876 to 1950, the relationship is inverse (r=-0.66, p<0.001). From 1965 to 1990, the correlation is weaker, and positive. A similar comparison of latewood isotope compositions compared to AMO indices showed a negative correlation from 1876 to 1950 (r = -0.35, p<0.001), but no significant correlation post-1950.

The abrupt change in the relationship between the AMO and isotopic compositions coincides with a change in the predominant type of tropical cyclones, i.e., tropical or baroclinically-enhanced tropical cyclones of extratropical origin. These types of tropical cyclones form by fundamentally different mechanisms. (Elsner and Kara, 1999). The 1965-1990 period, in which baroclinically-enhanced tropical cyclones were dominant, was one of relative quiescence for major tropical cyclones impacting the US coast. Since the mid 1990s, tropical cyclones have returned to dominance (Elsner and Kara, 1999), with a greater number and greater intensity than in the previous 30 years. Our isotope time series unfortunately does not extend to present day, however, we note an apparent divergence in the isotopic data between 1990 and 1997 (data from a different tree species and not shown here). Thus, on the basis of the isotope record, we hypothesize a return to the inverse relationship between tree-ring isotope compositions and AMO indices, similar to the relationship dominant earlier in the 20th century. We suggest that the AMO was less influential on southeastern climate during 1965 to 1990, and other climate modes, such as the Pacific Decadal Oscillation or the El Niño Southern Oscillation, had greater influence on the southeastern U.S. climate and tropical cyclone formation.

CONCLUSIONS

Many questions remain about the frequency and climatology of tropical cyclones and whether global climate change portends critical changes in their frequency or intensity. Geological, historical and stable isotope proxies extend the instrumental record of tropical cyclone activity and may yield a rich archive of information on their long term, natural variability on a variety of time scales, from annual to millennial. Proxy-derived data may better inform our understanding of tropical cyclone climatology, our possible complicity in forcing significant and potentially dangerous changes in their behavior, and also inform predictions of vulnerability and risk along the U.S. Gulf and Atlantic coasts.
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Figure 3. Inverse relationship between earlywood (light portion of rings in Figure 2b) oxygen isotope compositions and indices of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation, a low frequency variation in North Atlantic sea surface temperature. The magnitude of tree ring isotope compositions is largely controlled by biophysiological effects (~30‰), but variations in the time series (1 to 6‰) are affected by climate. A similar relationship is noted in latewood compositions. The relationship changes significantly as the climate system shifts to support the predominance of tropical cyclones formed by a different mechanism (baroclinically-enhanced versus tropical; see text). The results suggest remote climate controls on hurricane occurrence may operate in time frames that can be studied by paleotempestology.
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Start Planning for the 2007 Conference Season!

**SEPM Research Conference**
Ichnological Applications to Sedimentological and Sequence Stratigraphic Problems, May 20-26, 2007 in Price, Utah.

**SEPM & GSL Research Conference**
SEPM’s Response to a Changing Environment

The last issue but one (December 2005) of this magazine had an article entitled “Addressing the Future Directions in Sedimentary Geology: A Word about ForSed” (by John Holbrook and Chris Paola) and then last months’ issue of The Sedimentary Record (June 2006) contained an article by Nikki Strong and Chris Paola on “Fluvial Landscapes and Stratigraphy in a Flume”. These two articles got me thinking about the changes that have occurred within sedimentary geology over the last 20–30 years and how this has impacted on SEPM. Among these changes three stand out.

When I began my research career, most geoscientists were loners, working on research questions of relatively narrow focus and rarely collaborating with individuals in closely allied branches of sedimentary geology. Single-authored papers were common. Now, single-authored papers are the exception and almost everyone is doing collaborative research on questions that are trans-disciplinary in their focus: global climate change, paleo-oceanography of source beds, and the interplay of tectonics, geomorphology and sedimentation, to name just a few. The integration of biology, chemistry, mathematics and physics into the earth sciences has been pervasive, and new branches of sedimentary geology have been created to accommodate the emergence of these new research foci.

This change has lead to a fragmentation of the previously more homogeneous body of sedimentary geologists, which has, in turn, lead to the proliferation of specialty journals, meetings and even scientific societies. For example, those researchers interested in the application fluid mechanics to the transport and deposition of sediment tend to go to meetings of the American Geophysics Union; researchers with an interest in how ocean circulation and chemistry have evolved publish in Paleoceanography; while geoscientists interested in coastal evolution are likely to belong to such organizations as Estuarine Research Federation and publish in the Journal of Coastal Research. All of this may be healthy for these subdisciplines, but has lead to a loss from SEPM of some of the newer aspects of sedimentary geology. It may also have impoverished these emerging subdisciplines because they are no longer enriched by the new ideas that come from interaction with individuals with related but somewhat different views. Thus, there is little interaction between the community of scientists who are working on modern continental shelves and coastal zones and those who look at the same suite of environments in the rock record. Both would be enriched by a greater interchange of ideas.

However, SEPM’s strength is its breadth and the diversity of interests of its members. This is reflected in the draft version of the new Mission Statement for the Society that reflects its all-encompassing scope:

SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology) fosters the study of surficial processes and environments of the Earth and other planets—past and present—and their application to societal needs.

SEPM can cater to both the narrow specialist and those with broad interests. In fact, many of the most exciting publications and Research Conferences are those that bring together people from areas that don’t commonly talk to each other. The very recent Research Conference on “The Application of Earth System Modeling to Exploration” (jointly sponsored by the Geological Society of London and SEPM) is a case in point.

I encourage our members not to abandon SEPM for one of the more narrowly focused organizations. Take advantage of the breadth of interest contained within SEPM and propose exciting new Research Conferences or Special Publications that cross boundaries between the subdisciplines. If you haven’t seen a publication or meeting that caught your fancy, organize one yourself! SEPM’s Research Councillor, Chris Fielding <cfielding2@unl.edu>, and its Editors of Special Publications, Laura Crossey <lcrossey@unm.edu> and Don McNeill <dmcneill@smsas.miami.edu>, are there to help you. Contact them with your ideas.

The second change that has occurred is the internationalization of our discipline, both in terms of where the research is conducted (e.g., there has been a growing focus on research and exploration in southeast Asia) and who undertakes that research. Twenty to 30 years ago, the vast majority of SEPM members were from North America. While 70% of our members still resides in the United States and Canada, there is growing representation from around the world, such that SEPM is now a truly international organization with members from 80 countries. This internationalization of SEPM creates challenges: how do we make it easy for potential members in developing countries (where the acquisition of sufficient foreign currency can be difficult) to join, and how do we deliver benefits to members in such far-flung places. Council, spearheaded by International Councilor Cam Nelson c.nelson@waikato.ac.nz, will be exploring ways to make SEPM more relevant for members in developing countries. If you have ideas for ways for SEPM to reach out to its international members, please contact Cam.

The final change has been the digital “revolution” of which much has been written in this column by a succession of Presidents. Like all change, this has its positive and negative aspects. On the plus side, we are able to publish more cheaply than we can in paper format and can include types of contributions that were formerly impossible (i.e., animations). Distribution to our far-flung membership is also much easier and less expensive. On the negative side, many of us (myself included) prefer the ability to sit with our feet up and read hard copy at our leisure, so the move to digital format for our journals has not been met with uniform acceptance.

Council debated long and hard before making the move, but the health of the Society hung in the balance. We do need to keep a careful watch on the progress of going digital, hence the desire of Council (reported in my last President’s Comments column) to create an ad hoc committee to provide input on all things digital. Again, I encourage members to volunteer to serve on this committee. Our younger members are especially encouraged to take part.

To conclude, SEPM’s response to these changes must be guided by its mission to promote the science of sedimentary geology in all of its forms. We must find ways to provide linkages between the new subdisciplines that have sprung up, so as to foster creative research initiatives, and we must continue to foster our unique blend of pure and applied research. We must also find ways to continue our outreach to sedimentary geologists, *sensu lato*, around the world. The move to digital publishing seems unstoppable, but we must manage the change so that it is both cost effective and sensitive to the needs of our members. While doing all this, we must retain the personal aspect that has been the hallmark of SEPM throughout the 25 plus years that I’ve been a member. This is *your* Society. Get involved! (I had only one response to my previous column… do better this time!)

Bob Dalrymple, President
<dalrymple@geol.queensu.ca>
The first SEPM-GSL Joint Research Conference on the “Application of Earth System Modeling to Exploration” was held in Snowbird, Utah, 11-13 July, 2006. This brought together a diverse group of over 50 academics and industry scientists, with the aim of identifying what models can and cannot do, how they are currently been used, and how they might be developed in the future to help further reduce exploration risk.

Earth system modeling comprises a variety of techniques ranging from dynamic representations of tides, waves, oceans and climate, to carbon and vegetation models, to stratigraphic and basin modeling. The composition of the participants at Snowbird tended to focus this meeting on surface processes, but the importance of other modeling techniques, as well as subsurface processes, was acknowledged.

Earth system modeling therefore provides only one part of any exploration workflow, but a very powerful predictive role, especially in frontier areas where data may be sparse or absent.

The conference comprised about 30 poster and oral presentations from internationally renowned researchers. A more detailed summary of the presentations and the abstract volume is available at the SEPM Website (www.sepm.org/events/researchconferences).

Various models were mentioned in presentations and include HadCM3, the Imperial College Ocean Model, FOAM, Dionysios, other forward and reverse stratigraphic models as well as basic and complex box and process models. Mention was also made of the Gandolph and Merlin projects that are currently Industry products that use models to predict source rocks.

Talks included predictions of source and reservoir facies, modeled Cretaceous climate, the influence of CO2, Carboniferous tides, model sensitivity to changing boundary conditions, the effect of scale in lacustrine and fluvial systems, the influence of vegetation and grain size on fluvial depositional systems, Carpathian hydrocarbon generation, nutrient fluxes and rift evolution, the superfluous nature of anoxia in source facies deposition, turbidity modeling, and the effect of Milankovitch variations on predictions.

Many comments during the conference emphasized the importance of testing models and quantitatively defining uncertainty; several talks showed how the predictions of source rock deposition matched with detailed geochemical analysis of rocks from the same area. There was a basic recognition that a definitive model is perhaps unrealistic given the nature of the geological record and the complexity of what we are trying to model. What is required is a toolset of methods and models.

In order to further discuss some of the questions and issues raised during the meeting, a Discussion Forum was also part of the program. This was chaired by four panelists: Paul Valdes; Kevin Bohacs; Dan Burggraf; and Joe Macquaker. The issue of defining and constraining uncertainty was foremost amongst people’s concerns. The general consensus was that models should not be treated simply as ‘black boxes’ (they must be transparent if they are to be understood) and, as raised by many of the speakers, they must be tested against observations. The needs of the end-user and logistics of running models were also discussed. The latest versions of the most complex coupled ocean-atmosphere models can take up to three months just to run at a 3 degree cell size. This again brought up the need to also consider other factors, such as heat-flow, which had largely been ignored through the meeting, but reinforced an earlier conclusion that modeling cannot be used in isolation.

So what is next? The conference demonstrated that models are powerful tools, and do have a role in exploration; but they are also experiments that need to be continually tested against observations. We plan to set up an SEPM Research Group and web page over the next month which will keep interested parties apprised of developments, and we encourage all participants (and anyone else interested in this field) to check this and hopefully contribute and stay in contact.

In the meantime, we thank all of the presenters and participants for making this a very stimulating meeting and especially the sponsors of this conference, whose generosity helped in its success and also ensure that a publication will follow: ExxonMobil, Chevron, ConocoPhillips, Shell, GeoMark, Geotech, Hydro, Fugro-Robertson.
2007 Medalists

Medal and awards are an important part of the Society’s mission. It is with great pride that we announce the 2007 awardees. They will be honored at the 2007 President’s Reception and Awards ceremony, during the SEPM Annual Meeting held in Long Beach, California on Tuesday, April 3, 2007.

Honorary Membership:
Arnold H. Bouma

James Lee Wilson Award:
Nora Noffke

Moore Medalist:
Ray Ethington

Pettijohn Medalist:
J. Fred Read

Shepard Medalist:
John B. Anderson

Twenhofel Medalist:
John Warme

Call for 2008 SEPM Award Nominations

DO YOU KNOW OF SOMEONE WHO DESERVES SPECIAL RECOGNITION?

Nominations are open for the following awards:
• Twenhofel Medal – for excellence in overall sedimentary geology
• Pettijohn Medal – for excellence in sedimentology
• Shepard Medal – for excellence in marine geology
• Moore Medal – for excellence in paleontology
• Wilson Medal – for outstanding work at the beginning of a career in sedimentary geology
• Honorary Membership – for outstanding service and science in sedimentary geology

It is easy to nominate someone, just go to www.sepm.org/events/awards/awardsnominationform.html and fill out the form!

Once nominated, a candidate will be considered for three years.

GSA Annual Meeting - Philadelphia
October 22 – 25, 2006

SEPM Booth #507

SEPM Council Meeting, Sunday, Oct 22
Leows Hotel Room - Commonwealth, 8am – 5pm

Geosystems Research Group,
Monday, Oct 23
PCC - Room 112A, 7pm – 10pm

Student Reception, Monday, Oct 23
PCC – Bridge Area East, 5pm – 6pm

NAMS Board of Directors Meeting,
Monday, Oct 23
PCC – Room 110AB, 7pm – 10pm

Special SEPM Member Subscription rate to Basin Research $73 (normal price $192)

• Published on behalf of the International Association of Sedimentologists and the European Association of Geoscientists & Engineers
• International Journal publishing original, high impact research papers on sedimentary basin systems
• Regular thematic sets summarising new developments in the field

Visit the journal website at www.blackwellpublishing.com/bre
SEPM Student Members can win an Annual Meeting Travel Award of $400 (North American) or $600 (International) by submitting an abstract to *P-81: SEPM Student Awards Poster Session.*

If your abstract is accepted in the session you automatically earn a travel award to attend.

Submit abstracts to P-81 SEPM Student Awards Poster Session online at http://www.aapg.org/longbeach/tech_call.cfm

**ABSTRACT DEADLINE IS SEPTEMBER 27, 2006**

SEPM Student Membership

SEPM Student Memberships start at $25 per year.

Benefits include:
- Online access to Journal of Sedimentary Research and PALAIOS
- Student Discounts on new SEPM publications
- Free books for students each fall and spring
- Networking with the best sedimentary geologist worldwide
- Join online at www.sepm.org